| Family Name | Brown |
|--|--|
| Given Name | Barbara |
| Person ID | 1286320 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Туре | Web |
| Family Name | Brown |
| Given Name | Barbara |
| Person ID | 1286320 |
| Title | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden |
| Туре | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | There is no unmet housing need across Rochdale to justify building on this site which is protected green belt land. |
| | Developers should prove exceptional circumstances to build on green belt land by demonstrating they have examined all other reasonable options. |
| | Many brownfield sites are not included and many more will become available as we come out of the pandemic, these should be used in priority to protected green belt. |
| | Densities on existing brownfield sites should be increased. |
| | Therefore, other reasonable options exist and there are no exceptional circumstances to justify building 450 executive detached houses on protected green belt land. |
| | The site fails to comply with PfE Objectives 7 and 8 and is not consistent with sustainable development and NPPF Chapter 13. |
| | The site is not justified and not consistent with national policy. |